Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/062,791	HARTMANN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Yves Dalencourt	2157
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Yves Dalencourt</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jimmy L. Heisz</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 March 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separation. 	on. The examiner will provide a wroperate record of the substance of the	itten summary of the substance ne interview, since the interview
		. —
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	pplicant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mr. Jimmy L. Heisz on 03/30/2006.

The application has been amended as follows:

In claims 1 and 21, please insert a comma --- , --- after query (claim 1, line 5; claim 21, line 6).

In claims 1, 11, and 21, insert after tag ---- having data encoded thereon by both pulse position and phase position, --- (claim 1, lines 6 and 7; claim 11, lines 3 and 4; and claim 21, lines 7).

In claims 1, 11, and 21, insert after level ---, wherein said querying system is associated with a tag reader --- (claim 1, lines 11 and 12; claim 11, lines 9 and 10; claim 21, lines 11 and 12).

Please cancel claims 9, 19, and 28..